DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-27, drawn to an abuse-proofed dosage form.

Group II, claims 28-36, drawn to a process for the production of an abuse-proofed dosage form.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is a dosage form which exhibits a breaking strength of at least 500 N. DURIG (U.S. Patent No. 6,592,901) teaches a pharmaceutical composition wherein the crushing strength (reported in kilopond (kP) (see col. 10, lines 14-19)) was 10.2 to 18.8 (see Table 2). CAILLY-DUFESTEL (U.S. 2010/0015223) is cited as evidence explaining that 10 to 20 kP scaled down to the size of tablets is equivalent to about 1.4 to 2.8 MPa (i.e. 1400-2800 N/m²) (see [0040]).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Art Unit: 1615

Group I

• the component (c) listed in claim 19; or

• the component (c) listed in claim 20

Group II

the singulation technique of claim 32; or

• the singulation technique of claim 32

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the agents listed in claim 19 produce different physiological results than those listed in claim 20. Further, the singulation technique of claim 32 produces a final shape which differs from that of the technique recited in claim 33.

In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, or a single grouping of patentably indistinct species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 28 are generic.

There is a search and/or examination burden for the patentably distinct species as set forth above because at least the following reason(s) apply:

Each species requires a unique field of search not required by the other species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species or a grouping of patentably indistinct species to be examined even though the requirement <u>may</u> be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species or grouping of patentably indistinct species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species or grouping of patentably indistinct species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species, or groupings of patentably indistinct species from which election is required, are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing them to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,594 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

*

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HASAN AHMED whose telephone number is (571)272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax can be reached on (571)272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 1615 /Robert A. Wax/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615